УДК 327 “1939/45”

Igor Khyzhnyak

SYSTEM CONFRONTATION OF THE GLOBAL POLES OF POWER AND FACTOR OF RE-ACTION OF HISTORICAL RESULTS OF THE SECOND WORLD WAR

The publication contains the present day consideration of the new global world order general structure came up after well-known developments of the Dignity Revolution in Ukraine. There has been also revealed the controversial essence of the new phase of the international standoff between the systemic composing segment of the Transatlantic origin (EC states, the USA, British Common-wealth of Nations) and Ukraine in addition on the one hand, and Russia – on the other. It clearly shows the Russia’s policy of expansion: annexation of Crimea and unleashing war in Donbas region as well as to become one of the key centers of the world global poles of the superpowers arrangement. The degree of systemic impact on the present day historical background’s developments as factors of reversely acted effect of overall outcome after the World War II has been analyzed as well.

Keywords: *“the Kremlin Subsystem”, a systemic segment, an effect of the World War II reversal historic aftermath, a systemic factor of reversely acted effect, a global pole, a settled zone of influence, a force potential, “a uni - multipolar system + Russia”.*

The chronological border line of the very middle period in the XXI century second decade has marked forming a peculiar situation. It signified the emergence of definitely another inadequate form of the world order apparently different to the existed one earlier before the well-known true happenings of turbulent events that took place in Ukraine. Those occurred late November and early December of 2013, had been lasting through the whole of 2014 and in 2015 are still going on. At present its architectonics defines the level of universal withstanding between the West as the composing segment of the Transatlantic origin (the countries of North America, British Common Wealth of Nations, EC states) and Ukraine in addition as a victim of Russia’s perfidious annexation of Crimea on the one hand and Russia itself which has unleashed war in the East of the Ukrainian territory in Donbas and Lugansk regions – on the other. More over stubbornly resisting its admittance to be as an initiator and an active adherent of war actions, Russia started actively advance its arbitrary and imperative demands for her joining the top-ranking level in the world power centers that determine strategic trends of the world community development. This state started individual involving in the context which we call into question with the intention to outline her individual commitment to exterior and decisive role with respect to the special impact on the major global centers of power. Besides mentioned similarities the additional nurturing importance’s as viewed by the Kremlin authorities are the following: the situational fostering of its available military power along with advantageous drawing of the so-called settled zones of influence (here Ukraine is to be mentioned) as well as essential fueling of urgently needed support of

focused norms in the international co-existence. Though the latters turned to be categorically unacceptable for another ascendant part of the world community.

If conformably to the scenario of "Belokamennaya" (a literary epithet of Moscow) such a desirable systemic paradigm may sequentially exist, the latter in turn as the Kremlin's urgently needed world structure might assuredly be alive as one of recognized classical models: unipolar, bipolar or multipolar along as one of their compound analogues either (pursued by Moscow the global disposition of the centers of power will be considered later).

Occasioned by evident political conjuncture such unavoidable systemic factors as military might and force potential occur to be of intelligible interest to the consequent palette of the Word War II reversal historic aftermath experience. By that reason one to push forward on this enormous agenda of present day the veritable political apportionment that produces distinctive and trouble – making disorder among the global poles standoff. From that viewpoint the systemic forming of contemporary global structure as the Word War II reversal historic aftermath entails the most important consequences that are to be observed explicitly: for one thing, taking into account the systemic approach of its motly character or more precisely rather noticeable versatility the political palette seemed at that period to be distinctly impressive and absolutely splendid. And for the second one the most aggressive as to their political nature totalitarian and monarchist states embodied in "Berlin – Rome Axis" and "AntiComintern Pact" were entirely destroyed. The perfidious aggression and grab of another's territories previously being practiced by the states participated in military and political pacts emerged to be purposely withdrawn out of the measures of the interstate foreign policy. And just on that level they have acquired ostensible compromise status and certain established international taboo. Though the actual military factor itself was not even excluded from the international communication sphere as the similar happened in the world politics. More over the range of power composing segment nonetheless was noticeably thinned. And emulate start of the principle of power employment was civilly pushed forward to the international bringing into proper correlation the established norms of non-provocative and non-aggressive behavior of actors. Although mentioned actuality had a certain and relative character and confirmed its veritable and definite limits.

In ideologically rooted realia the priority was favored with the morality as an obvious alternative to the racial concept of superiority and militant chauvinism as well as anti-Semitism. Whereas radical doctrines of such kind have never engineered the founding origins in state politics among the countries of Europe. The limits of tolerance decrease inside the international public opinion milieus emerged visually more intensive comparing to the varieties of models in the totalitarian ways of thinking.

The fact of the Nazism crashing and extinguishing the most dangerous fire-places of instability in Europe as the basic "core" of the key results entailed the long-waited reconciliation in 1955 after the plebiscite between Germany and France on the Saar

River valley dispute. This ensured successive turn of the West European states to the economic cooperation and integration. Their population enabled practicability to create national states foremost within the boundaries of their ethnic settlings. Simultaneously other part of the world confirmed the status of a region where lessening of the danger of possible conflict among different nations was carried into effect. It also resulted in completing the basic process of self-determination of nations and peoples` self-consciousness emulations. Analogically the splash of peoples` self determining initiated its furthering especially to the peripheral zone of the world interstate structure. In the same way it abundantly referred to the states of the Asian region as well as the zone of the British colonies disintegration and the similar of France and Netherlands.

The field of military and technical cooperation acquired the traits of open and incompatible withstanding. It happened so explicitly because of the US monopoly for the nuclear weapon that emerged in the world after the year of 1945. It was that one which gave a major birth to the arms race over the following decades.

Another systemic and historic of the World War II reversal aftermath resulted in organized perceptions into a meaningful guide for crushing of the whole multipolar model of the world arrangement. It ensured the functioning of the ultimate frame of the international relations based on summarized military, economic, political, ideological and other potentials. Though systemically it simply ceased to provide conclusive predominance of their existence. Even the prerequisites for their feasible revival became to be highly impossible for emulation. Among the number of states traditionally attributed to a distinguished group as superpowers (Germany, Great Britain, the USSR, France and Japan) the three found themselves severely divested.

The economy of the one actively participated in the “Total War” was bitterly ruined (Great Britain). In 1945 only the two – the USA and the USSR (each in own way as to results) ran out of the war with individual profits. The eventuality turned out to be incomparable – the USA in fact appeared as a solitary and successful beneficial and the USSR in turn – with the crashing limits of it potentials. And yet between the both there were important differences in understanding and the mere distinctions as to tangible gap to undertake actual (real) international policy. So they constituted an exceptional duet to ensure dominant incumbency in exercising their leading positions in the systemic endurance of international relations.

From the viewpoint of the universal bipolar global structuring the world geopolitics emerged to be broken down into the American and the Soviet ones. First, included the whole Western Europe together with the Asian littoral and insular lines zones. Whereas the countries of Central and South – East Europe with partially continental part of East Asia as well as chronologically some later Cuba somehow constituted the political preferences of the second, the Soviet zone. Though all terms of foreign policy within each zone had been indisputably conditioning to observe separate interests of every presiding superpower.

In the course of historic (eventful) enlargement over the World War II developments the basic purpose was to gradually form the basic model for the organized world management. It had been ensured by the entire combination of the global interstate relations presided by the USA and the Soviet Union as basic acting figures. Furthermore, the UN tried to assume an integral function of providing the efficient mechanism of crises settlement though later practically confirmed its actual disability to prevent grandiose war or war conflicts. But sporadically it managed to pursue legitimate policy of selective containment and agreement. An attempt was also made to at least perform a distinctive function of watchful and well-grounded portrayal of the international relations adjustment.

Simultaneously the UN started practicing determinant management of the global and political undertakings worldwide. As to prominent and systemically arranged international economic and financial institutions such as the Bretton Woods, system the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, An Agreement on Tariffs, Trade etc. all of them were actively and consequently – embodied in its structural plot. Additionally the attended interstate establishments (like the Marshall Plan) were disposable involved to lend an economic assistance to the countries devastated by the war. To a certain extent originated subsistent entities laid the foundation for the prevention of economic wars as well as a means to introduce stability into international relations.

The World War II reversal historic aftermath segment encouraged emulation advance to preliminary establishment of the world dynamic equilibrium in the capacity of integral, internally ranged and politically indivisible global organism. From that time and onwards the separate development of each region explicitly became to be closely connected with the universal state of the world's perfection as the whole. And vice versa, the conditions over the whole outline of the international relations construction have commenced immediate transforming either into the direct dependence on correct growth of eventual unfolding within every of individually marked separate analogue or similarly it is taking place in all of them in the mode of setting together synchronously.

From now on any of the total number of existing earlier significant world systems couldn't go advancing in its continuous gradation as well as other than including procedures of the previous formats of certain enclave's sufficient and political self-isolation or even consciously being debarred from the progression in a continuous ascending of the world matters. Any how vice versa the greater numbers of the countries were craving to be included in all zigzags of the world politics and in a determinately converted mode to impact on various inconsistencies of current events in the different areas of the world. In the course of the further trend there had been growing of the globalization's enlargement coterminous with the available international contrivances. The architecture of the key vectors of discrepancies seemed to start acquiring the definite concordance with the format of the bipolar structure of the post-war world.

While constructing contemporary outline of the global poles of power stand off the author intentionally misses a certain detailed study of changes in the essentials within the structure of the international relations in the principal formats of unipolarity, bipolarity or multipolarity (because of the lack of place for thorough case study). Conversely, he immediately passes over to the personal search for "the supreme ruler" and his unfolding of the global system of interstate relations under update conditions and at a certain historical moment.

The entire complex of systemic factors started matching the full dynamics of the progressive growth towards definite augmentation of the political leadership significance. It resulted in obvious establishing individual supremacy of "a latter day" matured chief and his the Kremlin's statesmen that have managed to start exercising their dominant authority. For one thing this is an attempt of artificial and subjective reset of the world organization throughout the global centers of power. According to one of Mr. Putin's versions as a cardinal chieftain of the Russians it means not entirely completed reversal move to practical bipolarity. Though to be more precise it follows the proper and certain as well as impertinently formed hybrid – to constitute a schematically elaborated construction – "a uni-multipolar system + Russia". Along with such the architecture Moscow may organize its more favorable claim for a firm and irresistible position in all over the world leadership between principal and might hegemony of the two – the first one is the USA and to a certain extent may be EC states and the second as an alternative one is a "deficient pole" – China itself in the role of being about a premier performing actor. But it is that one for now which just closely approaches to the presiding status of the organizing and constituent paradigm of the world global system. In accordance with "Pervoprestolnaya`s" (as a literary epithet of Moscow) individual plans Russia is that one to have become a systemic moderator due to its gas and oil supplies and constantly growing military might. It is also obviously supposed to organize perceptions into a meaningful guide for assuming simultaneous position and counterpoising vectors to ensure required balance of powers. In other words, to commence playing a key role as a check and balance control leverage within the measures either of the world community or as an acknowledging and distinct regulatory function but now actually in the status of indisputable and dominating update center for the world interstate organization.

And yet, an another format is possible as well. As far as an outline of the newly emerged order of the global world has not hardened yet as "concrete for house-building" his major task Mr. Putin (as a state leader) sees in his own premediated plan to materially articulate and foster a peculiar sort of international construction. The latter has to become more pluralistic (in his understanding) and even not so "hybrid" as more multipolar one. Though over recent developments, especially after an ill-starred "parade of victory" in Moscow on May 9 2015, one has started to observe Mr. Putin's attempts to spin out almost remarkable globally-organized world interstate structure. It means a contemporary world order as forcibly unfolded blocking in

continuity structured as the following, including Russia, China, possibly states of the Western Europe (also for better reason participation of India) as a backbone of the new global basic pole. For the USA under the foregoing apportionment could be posited as the global pole in opposition. And summing up all that a direct, objective and implicit dependence on such "a fervent and fierce" factor called as "the Ukrainian syndrome" is ostensibly disclosed (an issue of massive escape of the refugees originated from Asia and Africa regions in the European destination emerged almost a year later. It is worth of separate and thorough studying).

As to "the Ukrainian syndrome" itself all things that happened later were not of so similar meaning. Their evolution had a kind of its prehistory. Its starting point was referred to March 26 of 2000 when Mr. Putin as a politician was legitimately converted into his presidency. Then as the first mini-step in such a sphere of activity there happened organized and telling as well as hypocritical and insidious come back to the Soviet (previous) national anthem. Later followed presumably stern and sequential entailing at all levels the state governing paradigm of the so-called "controlled democracy". But the key point at the top in the whole epopee called "Russia Under Putin's Rule" gave birth to an integral project of total or more precise forcible inculcation of sovereign Ukraine into the political and imperial plot "the Russian revivalism" in the real meaning of the former the Soviet Union. And the perspective way for its implementation is seen in the forthcoming sequential gathering of military and political instruments which are supposed to be involved in their virtual fulfillment. The latter may also be adequately organized in the expected search for the Kremlin's maximum suitable measurements over sparely – waited norms of political limits for posited model of the global power arrangement in the coming future.

But for the time an active start over "the actual political turbulence" seems to have been distinctly standing out. It centers on forcible convertion along with the attempts to choose and match some other possible models over expected period of the world order forming. Though the foregoing convergent point of interest deserves the thorough study to be focused on. And one ought to start with the attributable hypothesis on setting up quick changes that have just been commencing within the zone of systemic movement inside subsistent international power structures. Conversely, there has been denoted an artificial tendency of emerging some definite attempts to reversible movement towards generating a previous algorithm of rigidly established political stability with the similar way back to the former Soviet style. This makes it possible to actually define the source of emerged threat as well as the true country it now definitely originates.

Thus, there has been started forming the transitional systemic constituency with an establishing mode to oppositional trends for a certain "definition of some other polarity". It was purposely elaborated in the way to be organized as a solitary, "the hand – made" with an artificial prevalence as well as forcibly recognized regional (as to the scale of its true world influence) center of force. So entirely as an attempt to

initially form international unit (agreeably to Mr. Putin's views) entailed drives to focusing on certain and unbeatable manifestation of his imposed power and ostensible not flinching. But systemically it is only visual and unreal attempt of subjective and even situational transforming of the Kremlin's petulant importunities to posture itself as a prominent and leading center of the global world order. It has been also coming to pass a definite revitalization of the proimperial nostalgia as well as a restoration of the myth about true strength of the former the USSR. In spite of objective signs its true update concordance may be attributed to a regional level though with immense pretensions for the worldwide recognition.

While characterizing such an innovative combination it ought to become particular foci of attention to its specific state along with an exceptional emergence of the distinctive types of actions with a definite quidance to the purposeful adaptation of such a construction to the conditions of the subsisting world order. Out of the number of heightened interests to the Russian's leader intentions the major one is to instigate provocations over the global level with the incoherent signs (the latter's meaning is-without logical connection, entirely disjointed or incompatible by nature) of a definite precedent in company with the total disorder ("bespredela"). Factual and forceful instilment in the manner of the regional style as indubitable and "postmodernistic type of dictatorship" emerged to be rather comfortable to perpetuate Mr. Putin's version as well as objectively to have been generating a reversal answerable and rapid reaction. Besides the Russian leader's initiative widely propagated as "a genuine fashioner of an individual dramatic and politically determined lection" was lavishly sprinkled throughout the informational milieu by obsequious Russian mass-media. Additionally it was positively pushed forward as the Kremlin "big cheese's" personal continuous experiment. But finally the subject was closed at once with the world media's resolute nicknaming Mr. Putin as "A Fuehrer of The Third Rome" [1].

Agreeably to the evidences of Mr. Nemtsov as one of the most distinguished experts of the Kremlin's massages of such kind (now unfornately killed under uninvestigated circumstances and whose murder is still untried): "The total metaphysical responsibility" for individual producing "the competitor's" ground to meet actual needs of the existing modern world order is laid on a true fashioner of "the new senses of the world's carnival". The encountered performance is designed for fostering the new global perceptions guided from "Pervoprestolnaya's territory" [2].

The voluntaristic trend in the manner of a person full of alone-organized exceptional political character has engendered setting up a separate and exclusive domain of international states interaction. It has also entailed attempts to originate a new center of the global power with an individual apportionment of political interests. A premiere reinforcement of encountered circumstances emerged so heavily as a function of the military power domination. And a principally fresh format of

practicing conventional warfare's resulted in accurate representation of existing reality started to be called as "Hybrid, informational war".

References

1. [Електронний ресурс]. – Режим доступу: http//www.proza.ru/board/list.html?rec_text=2014/04/21/19328 list=short
2. 10 высказываний Немцова о Путине [Електронний ресурс]. – Режим доступу: http://fakty.ictv.ua/ru/index/read-news/id/1543894

Хижняк І.А. Системне протистояння глобальних полюсів сили і фактор повторної дії історичних підсумків Другої світової війни.

Розглядається нова конфігурація світопорядку, що виникла після відомих подій в Україні періоду Революції Гідності, і яка існує і сьогодні. Розкривається суперечлива сутність нової фази протистояння між трансатлантичною системною складовою (в особі країн ЄС, Північної Америки, країн Британської Співдружності) і, як доповнення Україною, з одного боку, а також Росією – з іншого. Визначається експансіоністський характер її анексії Криму, розв'язання війни на Донбасі і намагань перебрати на себе можливість стати одним з глобальних центрів сили. Аналізується ступінь системного впливу фактору повторної дії підсумків Другої світової війни на формування вже іншої, сучасної, ситуативної парадигми глобальної структури світополітичних відносин.

Ключові слова: *системний сегмент, наслідкова палітра досвіду, системний фактор повторної дії, глобальний полюс сили, фіксована зона впливу, силовий потенціал, «півтораполярна система + Росія».*

Хижняк И.А. Системное противостояние глобальных полюсов силы и фактор повторного действия исторических итогов Второй мировой войны.

Рассматривается новая конфигурация миропорядка, возникшая после известных событий в Украине периода Революции Достоинства, и которая существует и поныне. Раскрывается противоречивая сущность новой фазы противостояния между трансатлантической системной составляющей (в лице стран ЕС, Северной Америки, стран Британского Содружества) и, как дополнения Украиной, с одной стороны, а также Россией – с другой. Определяется экспансионистский характер аннексии Крыма, развязывания войны на Донбассе, а также попыток приобретения возможности стать одним из глобальных полюсов силы. Анализируется степень системного воздействия фактора повторного действия итогов Второй мировой войны на формирование уже иной, современной ситуативной парадигмы глобальной структуры мирополитических отношений.

Ключевые слова: *системный фактор, итоговая палитра исторического опыта, системный фактор повторного действия, глобальный полюс силы, фиксированная зона воздействия, силовой потенциал, «полутораполярная система + Россия».*